UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

MIDDLE DISTRICT of PENNSYLVANIA

RAYMOND HOLLOWAY, JR.,			
Plaintiffs			
v.)	Civil Action No.	1:17-CV-0081
JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS, III, Attorney General of the United)		
States, THOMAS E. BRANDON, Acting Director of the Bureau)		
of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, CHRISTOPHER		(Chief Judge C	Conner)
A. WRAY, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and)		
the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
Defendants			
JUDGMENT IN A	CIVIL 2	ACTION	
The court has ordered that (check one):			
□ the plaintiff			recover from the
defendant (name)			the amount of
	dollars	(\$), which includes prejudgment
interest at the rate of%, plus postjudgment interest	t at the ra	ate of	%, along with costs.
\Box the plaintiff recover nothing, the action be dismissed on the m	nerits, an	d the defendant (name	
		recover costs from t	the plaintiff (name)
		<u>.</u>	
X other: SUM MARY JUDGMENT be and is hereby ENTE	RFD in	favor of plaintiff RA	YMOND HOLLOWAY IR
and against defendants JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS, II		•	
BRANDON, Acting Director of the Bureau of Alcoho		•	
WRAY, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation		•	
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT be and is hereby E			
JR., and against defendants JEFFERSON B. SESSION	NS, III, A	Attorney General of th	e United States, THOMAS E.
BRANDON, Acting Director of the Bureau of Alcoho	l, Tobac	co, Firearms and Exp	losives, CHRISTOPHER A.
WRAY, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation	n, and tl	ne UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA, as follows: It
it ORDERED and DECLARED that the felon-in-posse	ession ba	an of 18 U.S.C. § 922	(g)(1) is unconstitutional as
applied to plaintiff Raymond Holloway, Jr., in violation	on of the	Second Amendment	to the United States
Constitution. Defendants, together with all those actin	ig in con	cert with them are EN	NJOINED from enforcing,

directing enforcement, or permitting enforcement of the felon-in-possession ban of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) against Holloway, in accordance with this court's memorandum and order (Docs. 83, 84), dated September 28, 2018.

Thi	s action was (check one):	
	tried by a jury with Judge or Magistrate Judge	presiding, and the jury has
reno	dered a verdict.	
	tried by Judge or Magistrate Judge	without a jury and the above decision
X	decided by Judge or Magistrate Judge	Chief Judge Christopher C. Conner
	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
Dat	e: Oct 1, 2018	CLERK OF COURT PETER WELSH, Acting Clerk of Court
		K. McKinney
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk